

Let's conclude with a word about the status of predictive coding today.

In legal systems, it's difficult to change existing practice because of laws reliant on past precedent, which causes current decisions to be made on the basis of past ones.

Because the types of eDiscovery admissible in court have historically been limited to keyword search coupled with manual review, nearly all cases today use this eDiscovery approach.

However, this status quo seems to be starting to change.

In 2012, a US District Court ruled that predictive coding was a legitimate eDiscovery tool, which may pave the way for its expanded use in coming years.