



$\int |\psi|^2 dx$ . Plus the same thing for  $y$  and for  $z$ .

And each of these things is positive. Because when you have the same wavefunction on the left and on the right, you integrate the norm squared. It's positive. This is positive. This is positive. So the sum must be positive, and  $\lambda$  must be positive.

So  $\lambda$  must be positive. This is our expectation. And it's a reasonable expectation. And that's why, in fact, anticipating a little the answer, people write this as  $\lambda = l(l+1)\hbar^2$ . And where  $l$  is a real number, at this moment. And you say, well, that's a little strange. Why do you put it as  $l(l+1)$ . What's the reason?

The reason is-- comes when we look at the differential equation. But the reason you don't get in trouble by doing this is that as you span all the real numbers, the function  $l(l+1)$  is like this.  $l(l+1)$ . And therefore, whatever  $\lambda$  you have that is positive, there is some  $l$  for which  $l(l+1)$  is a positive number.

So there's nothing wrong. I'm trying to argue there's nothing wrong with writing that the eigenvalue is of the form  $l(l+1)\hbar^2$ . Because we know the eigenvalue's positive, and therefore, whatever  $\lambda$  you give me that is positive, I can always find, in fact, two values of  $l$ , for which  $l(l+1)$  is equal to  $\lambda/\hbar^2$ . We can choose the positive one, and that's what we will do.

So these are the equations we want to deal with. Are there questions in the setting up of these equations? This is the conceptual part. Now begins a little bit of play with the differential equations. And we'll have to do a little bit of work. But this is what the physical intuition-- the commutators, everything led us to believe. That we should be able to solve this much. We should be able to find functions that do all this.

All right, let's do the first one. So the first equation-- The first equation is-- let me call it equation 1 and 2. The first equation is  $\nabla^2 \psi = -\lambda \psi$ . That's  $\nabla^2 \psi = -\lambda \psi$ , equal  $\nabla^2 \psi = -\lambda \psi$ . So canceling the  $\hbar$  bars, you'll get  $\nabla^2 \psi = -\lambda \psi$ .

So  $\psi$  is equal to  $e^{i\phi}$  times some function of  $\theta$ . Arbitrary function of  $\theta$  this moment. So this is my solution. This is  $\psi(\theta, \phi)$ . With the term in the  $\phi$  dependants, and it's not that complicated.

So at this moment, you say, well, I'm going to use this for wavefunctions. I want them to behave normally. So if somebody gives me a value of  $\phi$ , I can tell them what the

wavefunction is. And since  $\phi$  increases by  $2\pi$  and is periodic with  $2\pi$ , I may demand that  $\psi$  be the same as  $\psi$  at  $\theta$  and  $\theta + 2\pi$ .

You could say, well, what if you could put the minus sign there? Well, you could try. The attempt would fail eventually. There's nothing, obviously, wrong with trying to put the sine there. But it doesn't work. It would lead to rather inconsistent things soon enough. So this condition here requires that this function be periodic.

And therefore when  $\phi$  changes by  $2\pi$ , it should be a multiple of  $2\pi$ . So  $m$  belong to the integers. So we found the first quantization. The eigenvalues of  $L_z$  are quantized. They have to be integers. That was easy enough. Let's look at the second equation. That takes a bit more work.

So what is the second equation? Well, it is most slightly complicated differential operator. And let's see what it does. So  $L^2$ . Well, we had it there. So it's  $-\hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\psi}{d\theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{d^2 \psi}{d\phi^2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} l(l+1) \psi$ .

One thing we can do here is let the  $\frac{d^2}{d\phi^2}$  act on this. Because we know what  $\frac{d^2}{d\phi^2}$  does.  $\frac{d^2}{d\phi^2}$  brings an  $m^2$  factor, because you know already the  $\phi$  dependence of  $\psi$ . So things we can do. So we'll do the second  $\frac{d^2}{d\phi^2}$  gives  $-m^2$  gives you  $m^2 \psi$ , which is  $-m^2$ , multiplying the same function.

You can cancel the  $\hbar^2$ . Cancel  $\hbar^2$ . And multiply by  $\sin^2 \theta$ . To clean up things. So here is what we have. We have  $\sin^2 \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\psi}{d\theta} \right) + \frac{d^2 \psi}{d\phi^2} = l(l+1) \psi$ . The  $\hbar^2$  went away.  $\sin^2 \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\psi}{d\theta} \right) + \frac{d^2 \psi}{d\phi^2} = l(l+1) \psi$ .

Already I substituted that  $\psi$  was into the  $m^2$  times the  $\psi$ . So I have that. And maybe I should put the parentheses here to make it all look nicer. Then I have in here two more terms. I'll bring the right-hand side to the left. It will end up with  $\sin^2 \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\psi}{d\theta} \right) + \frac{d^2 \psi}{d\phi^2} - l(l+1) \psi = 0$ .

There we go. That's our differential equation. It's a major, somewhat complicated, differential equation. But it's a famous one, because it comes from [? Laplacians. ?] You know, people had to study this equation to do anything with Laplacians, and so many problems. So everything is known about this.

And the first thing that is known is that theta really appears as cosine theta everywhere. And that makes sense. You see, theta and cosine theta is sort of the same thing, even though it doesn't look like it. You need angles that go from 0 to pi. And that's nice.

But [? close ?] and theta, in that interval goes from 1 to minus 1. So it's a good parameter. People use 0 to 180 degrees of latitude. But you could use from 1 to minus 1, the cosine. That would be perfectly good. So theta or cosine theta is a different variable. And this equation is simpler for cosine theta as a variable. So let me write that, do that simplification.

So I have it here. If x is cosine theta,  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  is minus 1 over sine theta,  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$ . Please check that. And you can also show that sine theta,  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  is equal to minus 1 minus x squared  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$ .

The claim is that this differential equation just involves cosine theta. And this operator you see in the first term of the differential equation, sine theta,  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  is this, where x is cosine theta. And then there is a sine squared theta, but sine squared theta is 1 minus cosine squared theta.

So this differential equation becomes  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  -- well, should I write the whole thing? No. I'll write the simplified version. It's not-- it's only one slight--  $m^2$  of the  $x$  plus  $l$  times  $l$  plus 1 minus  $m^2$  squared over  $1 - x^2$   $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  plus  $m^2$  of  $x$  equals 0. The only thing that you may wonder is what happened to the  $1 - x^2$  that arises from this first term.

Well, there's a  $1 - x^2$  here. And we divided by all of it. So it disappeared from the first term, disappeared from here. But the  $m^2$  ended up divided by  $1 - x^2$ . So this is our equation. And so far, our solutions are  $\psi_l$ 's. Are going to be some coefficients,  $m_l$ 's, into the  $i^m \phi_l$  of cosine theta.

Now I want to do a little more before finishing today's lecture. So this equation is somewhat complicated. So the way physicists analyze it is by considering first the case when  $m$  is equal to 0. And when  $m$  is equal to 0, the differential equation--  $m^2$  equals 0 first. The differential equation becomes  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$   $\frac{1 - x^2}{d\theta^2}$  plus  $l(l+1)$   $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  plus  $l(l+1)$   $x$  equals 0. But  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$ , people write as  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$ . The  $x$  plus  $l$  times  $l$  plus 1,  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  equals 0.

So this we solve by a series solution. So we write  $\frac{d^2 x}{d\theta^2}$  of  $x$  equals some sort of a  $\sum$  over  $k$ ,  $a_k x^k$ . And we substitute in there. Now if you substituted it and pick the coefficient of  $x$  to

the  $k$ , you get a recursion relation, like we did for the case of the harmonic oscillator.

And this is a simple recursion relation. It reads  $k+1$ -- this is a two-line exercise--  $k+2$ ,  $a_{k+2}$ ,  $a_{k+2}$ , plus  $l$  times  $l+1$ , minus  $k$  times  $k+1$ ,  $a_k$ . So actually, this recursive relation can be put as a [? ratio ?] form. The [? ratio ?] form we're accustomed, in which we divide  $a_{k+2}$  by  $a_k$ . And that gives you  $a_{k+2}$  over  $a_k$ . I'm sorry, all this coefficient must be equal to 0.

And  $a_{k+2}$  over  $a_k$ , therefore is  $-(l+1) - k(k+1)$  over  $(k+1)k$ . OK, good. We're almost done. So what has happened? We had a general equation for  $\psi$ . The first equation, one, we solved.

The second became an [? integrated ?] differential equation. We still don't know how to solve it.  $m$  must be an integer so far.  $l$  we have no idea. Nevertheless we now solve this for the case  $m$  equal to 0, and find this recursive relation. And this same story that happened for the harmonic oscillator happens here.

If this recursion doesn't terminate, you get singular functions that diverge at  $x$  equals 1 or minus 1. And therefore this must terminate. Must terminate. And if it terminates, the only way to achieve termination on this series is if  $l$  is an integer equal to  $k$ . So you can choose some case-- you choose  $l$  equals to  $k$ . And then you get that  $p_l$  of  $x$  is of the form of an  $x$  to the  $l$  coefficient.

Because  $l$  is equal to  $k$ , and  $a_k$  is the last one that exists. And now  $a_{l+2}$ ,  $k+2$  would be equal to 0. So you match this, the last efficient is the value of  $l$ . And the polynomial is an elf polynomial, up to some number at the end. and you got a quantization.  $l$  now can be any positive integer or 0.

So  $l$  can be 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. And it's the quantization of the magnitude of the angular momentum. This is a little surprising.  $L^2$  is an operator that reflects the magnitude of the angular momentum. And suddenly, it is quantized. The eigenvalues of that operator, where  $l(l+1)$ , that  $l$  had in some blackboard must be quantized.

So what you get here are the Legendre polynomials. The  $p_l$ 's of  $x$  that satisfy this differential equation are legendre polynomials. And next time, when we return to this equation, we'll find that  $m$  cannot exceed  $l$ . Otherwise you can't solve this equation. So we'll find the complete set of constraints on the eigenvalues of the operator.