

Lecture 14: Portfolio Theory

MIT 18.S096

Dr. Kempthorne

Fall 2013

Outline

1 Portfolio Theory

- Markowitz Mean-Variance Optimization
- Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset
- Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utility Theory
- Portfolio Optimization Constraints
- Estimating Return Expectations and Covariance
- Alternative Risk Measures

Markowitz Mean-Variance Analysis (MVA)

Single-Period Analysis

- m risky assets: $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$
- Single-Period Returns: m -variate random vector

$$\mathbf{R} = [R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m]'$$

- Mean and Variance/Covariance of Returns:

$$E[\mathbf{R}] = \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{bmatrix}, \text{Cov}[\mathbf{R}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{1,1} & \cdots & \Sigma_{1,m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Sigma_{m,1} & \cdots & \Sigma_{m,m} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Portfolio: m -vector of weights indicating the fraction of portfolio wealth held in each asset

$$\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) : \sum_{i=1}^m w_i = 1.$$

- Portfolio Return: $R_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{R} = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i R_i$ a r.v. with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\mathbf{w}} &= E[R_{\mathbf{w}}] = \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{w}}^2 &= \text{var}[R_{\mathbf{w}}] = \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Markowitz Mean Variance Analysis

Evaluate different portfolios \mathbf{w} using the mean-variance pair of the portfolio: $(\alpha_{\mathbf{w}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{w}}^2)$ with preferences for

- Higher expected returns $\alpha_{\mathbf{w}}$
- Lower variance $var_{\mathbf{w}}$

Problem I: Risk Minimization: For a given choice of target mean return α_0 , choose the portfolio \mathbf{w} to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize:} & \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w} \\ \text{Subject to:} & \quad \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \alpha_0 \\ & \quad \mathbf{w}' \mathbf{1}_m = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Solution: Apply the method of Lagrange multipliers to the convex optimization (minimization) problem subject to linear constraints:

Risk Minimization Problem

- Define the Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w} + \lambda_1 (\alpha_0 - \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}) + \lambda_2 (1 - \mathbf{w}' \mathbf{1}_m)$$

- Derive the first-order conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= \mathbf{0}_m = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w} - \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1}_m \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0 = \alpha_0 - \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} &= 0 = 1 - \mathbf{w}' \mathbf{1}_m \end{aligned}$$

- Solve for \mathbf{w} in terms of λ_1, λ_2 :

$$\mathbf{w}_0 = \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \lambda_2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m$$

- Solve for λ_1, λ_2 by substituting for \mathbf{w} :

$$\alpha_0 = \mathbf{w}'_0 \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \lambda_1 (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}) + \lambda_2 (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)$$

$$1 = \mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{1}_m = \lambda_1 (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) + \lambda_2 (\mathbf{1}'_m \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)$$

$$\implies \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with}$$

$$a = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}), \quad b = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m), \quad \text{and } c = (\mathbf{1}'_m \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)$$

Risk Minimization Problem

Variance of Optimal Portfolio with Return α_0

With the given values of λ_1 and λ_2 , the solution portfolio

$$\mathbf{w}_0 = \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \lambda_2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m$$

has minimum variance equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0^2 &= \mathbf{w}_0' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w}_0 \\ &= \lambda_1^2 (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}) + 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) + \lambda_2^2 (\mathbf{1}_m' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ gives

$$\sigma_0^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ac-b^2} (c\alpha_0^2 - 2b\alpha_0 + a)$$

- Optimal portfolio has variance σ_0^2 : parabolic in the mean

Equivalent Optimization Problems

Problem II: Expected Return Maximization: For a given choice of target return variance σ_0^2 , choose the portfolio \mathbf{w} to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize: } & E(R_{\mathbf{w}}) = \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \text{Subject to: } & \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{w} = \sigma_0^2 \\ & \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Problem III: Risk Aversion Optimization: Let $\lambda \geq 0$ denote the *Arrow-Pratt* risk aversion index gauging the trade-off between risk and return. Choose the portfolio \mathbf{w} to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize: } & \left[E(R_{\mathbf{w}}) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \text{var}(R_{\mathbf{w}}) \right] = \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{w} \\ \text{Subject to: } & \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m = 1 \end{aligned}$$

N.B

- Problems I, II, and III solved by equivalent Lagrangians
- **Efficient Frontier:** $\{(\alpha_0, \sigma_0^2) = (E(R_{\mathbf{w}_0}), \text{var}(R_{\mathbf{w}_0})) \mid \mathbf{w}_0 \text{ optimal}\}$
- Efficient Frontier: traces of α_0 (I), σ_0^2 (II), or λ (III)

Outline

- 1 Portfolio Theory
 - Markowitz Mean-Variance Optimization
 - Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset
 - Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utility Theory
 - Portfolio Optimization Constraints
 - Estimating Return Expectations and Covariance
 - Alternative Risk Measures

Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset

Risk-Free Asset: In addition to the risky assets ($i = 1, \dots, m$) assume there is a risk-free asset ($i = 0$) for which

$$R_0 \equiv r_0, \text{ i.e., } E(R_0) = r_0, \text{ and } \text{var}(R_0) = 0.$$

Portfolio With Investment in Risk-Free Asset

- Suppose the investor can invest in the m risky investment as well as in the risk-free asset.

$\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i$ is invested in risky assets and $1 - \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m$ is invested in the risk-free asset.

- If borrowing allowed, $(1 - \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m)$ can be negative.
- Portfolio: $R_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{R} + (1 - \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m)R_0$, where

$\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_m)$, has expected return and variance:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\mathbf{w}} &= \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\alpha} + (1 - \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m)r_0 \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{w}}^2 &= \mathbf{w}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Note: R_0 has zero variance and is uncorrelated with \mathbf{R}

Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset

Problem I': Risk Minimization with Risk-Free Asset

For a given choice of target mean return α_0 , choose the portfolio \mathbf{w} to

$$\text{Minimize: } \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w}$$

$$\text{Subject to: } \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\alpha} + (1 - \mathbf{w}' \mathbf{1}_m) r_0 = \alpha_0$$

Solution: Apply the method of Lagrange multipliers to the convex optimization (minimization):

- Define the Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \lambda_1) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w} + \lambda_1 [(\alpha_0 - r_0) - \mathbf{w}'(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)]$$

- Derive the first-order conditions

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{0}_m = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w} - \lambda_1 [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0]$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0 = (\alpha_0 - r_0) - \mathbf{w}'(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)$$

- Solve for \mathbf{w} in terms of λ_1 : $\mathbf{w}_0 = \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0]$

$$\text{and } \lambda_1 = (\alpha_0 - r_0) / [(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)]$$

Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset

Available Assets for Investment:

- Risky Assets ($i = 1, \dots, m$) with returns: $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_m)$ with

$$E[\mathbf{R}] = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \text{ and } \text{Cov}[\mathbf{R}] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

- Risk-Free Asset with return R_0 : $R_0 \equiv r_0$, a constant.

Optimal Portfolio P : Target Return = α_0

- Invests in risky assets according to fractional weights vector:

$$\mathbf{w}_0 = \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0], \text{ where}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(P) = \frac{(\alpha_0 - r_0)}{(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)}$$

- Invests in the risk-free asset with weight $(1 - \mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{1}_m)$
- Portfolio return: $R_P = \mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{R} + (1 - \mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{1}_m) r_0$

Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset

- Portfolio return: $R_P = \mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{R} + (1 - \mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{1}_m) r_0$

- Portfolio variance:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(R_P) &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{R} + (1 - \mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{1}_m) r_0) = \text{Var}(\mathbf{w}'_0 \mathbf{R}) \\ &= \mathbf{w}'_0 \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w}_0 = (\alpha_0 - r_0)^2 / [(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)] \end{aligned}$$

Market Portfolio M

- The fully-invested optimal portfolio with

$$\mathbf{w}_M : \mathbf{w}'_M \mathbf{1}_m = 1.$$

i.e.

$$\mathbf{w}_M = \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0], \text{ where}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(M) = (\mathbf{1}'_m \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0])^{-1}$$

- Market Portfolio Return: $R_M = \mathbf{w}'_M \mathbf{R} + 0 \cdot R_0$

$$E(R_M) = E(\mathbf{w}'_M \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{w}'_M \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \frac{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0])}{(\mathbf{1}'_m \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0])}$$

$$= r_0 + \frac{[\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0]' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0]}{(\mathbf{1}'_m \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0])}$$

$$\text{Var}(R_M) = \mathbf{w}'_M \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{w}_M$$

$$= \frac{(E(R_M) - r_0)^2}{[(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0)]} = \frac{[\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0]' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0]}{(\mathbf{1}'_m \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_m r_0])^2}$$

Tobin's Separation Theorem: *Every optimal portfolio invests in a combination of the risk-free asset and the Market Portfolio.*

Let P be the optimal portfolio for target expected return α_0 with risky-investment weights \mathbf{w}_P , as specified above.

- P invests in the same risky assets as the Market Portfolio and in the same proportions! The only difference is the total weight, $w_M = \mathbf{w}'_P \mathbf{1}_M$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_M &= \frac{\lambda_1(P)}{\lambda_1(M)} = \frac{(\alpha_0 - r_0) / [(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_M r_0) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_M r_0)]}{(\mathbf{1}'_M \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_M r_0])^{-1}} \\
 &= (\alpha_0 - r_0) \frac{(\mathbf{1}'_M \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_M r_0])}{[(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_M r_0) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}_M r_0)]} \\
 &= (\alpha_0 - r_0) / (E(R_M) - r_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

- $R_P = (1 - w_M)r_0 + w_M R_M$
- $\sigma_P^2 = \text{var}(R_P) = \text{var}(w_M R_M) = w_M^2 \text{Var}(R_M) = w_M^2 \sigma_M^2$.
- $E(R_P) = r_0 + w_M (E(R_M) - r_0)$

Mean Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset

Capital Market Line (CML): The efficient frontier of optimal portfolios as represented on the (σ_P, μ_P) -plane of return expectation (μ_P) vs standard-deviation (σ_P) for all portfolios.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CML} &= \{(\sigma_P, E(R_P)) : P \text{ optimal with } w_M = \mathbf{w}'_P \mathbf{1}_m > 0\} \\ &= \{(\sigma_P, \mu_P) = (\sigma_P, r_0 + w_M(\mu_M - r_0)), w_M \geq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Risk Premium/Market Price of Risk

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_P) &= r_0 + w_M[E(R_M) - r_0] \\ &= r_0 + \left(\frac{\sigma_P}{\sigma_M}\right) [E(R_M) - r_0] \\ &= r_0 + \sigma_P \left[\frac{E(R_M) - r_0}{\sigma_M}\right] \end{aligned}$$

- $\left[\frac{E(R_M) - r_0}{\sigma_M}\right]$ is the 'Market Price of Risk'
- Portfolio P 's expected return increases linearly with risk (σ_P).

Mean Variance Optimization

Key Papers

- Markowitz, H. (1952), "Portfolio Selection", *Journal of Finance*, **7** (1): 77-91.
- Tobin, J. (1958) "Liquidity Preference as a Behavior Towards Risk," *Review of Economic Studies*, 67: 65-86.
- Sharpe, W.F. (1964), "Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium Under Conditions of Risk," *Journal of Finance*, 19: 425-442.
- Lintner, J. (1965), "The Valuation of Risk Assets and the Selection of Risky Investments in Stock Portfolios and Capital Budgets," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 47: 13-37.
- Fama, E.F. (1970), "Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work," *Journal of Finance*, 25: 383-417.

Outline

- 1 Portfolio Theory
 - Markowitz Mean-Variance Optimization
 - Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset
 - **Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utility Theory**
 - Portfolio Optimization Constraints
 - Estimating Return Expectations and Covariance
 - Alternative Risk Measures

Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utility Theory

- Rational portfolio choice must apply preferences based on **Expected Utility**
- The optimal portfolio solves the **Expected Utility Maximization Problem**

Investor: Initial wealth W_0

Action: Portfolio choice P (investment weights-vector \mathbf{w}_P)

Outcome: Wealth after one period $W = W_0[1 + R_P]$.

Utility Function: $u(W) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$

Quantitative measure of outcome value to investor.

Expected Utility: $E[u(W)] = E[u(W_0[1 + R_P])]$

Utility Theory

Utility Functions

- Basic properties:
 - $u'(W) > 0$: increasing (always)
 - $u''(W) < 0$: decreasing marginal utility (typically)
- Definitions of risk aversion:
 - **Absolute Risk Aversion:** $\lambda_A(W) = -\frac{u''(W)}{u'(W)}$
 - **Relative Risk Aversion:** $\lambda_R(W) = -\frac{Wu''(W)}{u'(W)}$
- If $u(W)$ is smooth (bounded derivatives of sufficient order),

$$u(W) \approx u(w_*) + u'(w_*)(W - w_*) + \frac{1}{2}u''(w_*)(W - w_*)^2 + \dots$$

$$= (\text{constants}) + u'(w_*)\left[W - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_A(w_*)(W - w_*)^2\right] + \dots$$

Taking expectations

$$E[u(W)] \propto E\left[W - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(W - w_*)^2\right] \approx E[W] - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \text{Var}[W]$$

(setting $w_* = E[W]$)

Utility Functions

Linear Utility: $u(W) = a + bW, \quad b > 0$

Quadratic Utility: $u(W) = W - \frac{1}{2}\lambda W^2, \quad \lambda > 0,$
(and $W < \lambda^{-1}$)

Exponential Utility: $u(W) = 1 - e^{-\lambda W}, \lambda > 0$
Constant Absolute Risk Aversion (CARA)

Power Utility: $u(W) = W^{(1-\lambda)}, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1$
Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA)

Logarithmic Utility: $u(W) = \ln(W)$

Outline

- 1 **Portfolio Theory**
 - Markowitz Mean-Variance Optimization
 - Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset
 - Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utility Theory
 - **Portfolio Optimization Constraints**
 - Estimating Return Expectations and Covariance
 - Alternative Risk Measures

Portfolio Optimization Constraints

Long Only:

$$\mathbf{w} : w_j \geq 0, \forall j$$

Holding Constraints:

$$L_i \leq w_i \leq U_i$$

where $\mathbf{U} = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ and $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ are upper and lower bounds for the m holdings.

Turnover Constraints:

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = (\Delta w_1, \dots, \Delta w_m)$$

The change vector of portfolio holdings satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta w_j| &\leq U_i, \text{ for individual asset limits } \mathbf{U} \\ \sum_{i=1}^m |\Delta w_j| &\leq U_*, \text{ for portfolio limit } U_* \end{aligned}$$

Portfolio Optimization Constraints

Benchmark Exposure Constraints:

\mathbf{w}_B the fractional weights of a Benchmark portfolio

$R_B = \mathbf{w}_B \mathbf{R}$, return of Benchmark portfolio

(e.g., S&P 500 Index, NASDAQ 100, Russell 1000/2000)

$$|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B| = \sum_{i=1}^m |[\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B]_i| < U_B$$

Tracking Error Constraints:

For a given Benchmark portfolio B with fractional weights \mathbf{w}_B , compute the variance of the Tracking Error

$$\begin{aligned} TE_P &= (R_P - R_B) = [\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B] \mathbf{R} \\ \text{var}(TE_P) &= \text{var}([\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B] \mathbf{R}) \\ &= [\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B]' \text{Cov}(\mathbf{R}) [\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B] \\ &= [\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B]' \Sigma [\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B] \end{aligned}$$

Apply the constraint:

$$\text{var}(TE_P) = [\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B]' \Sigma [\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B] \leq \bar{\sigma}_{TE}^2$$

Portfolio Optimization Constraints

Risk Factor Constraints:

For Factor Model

$$R_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_{i,k} f_{j,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- Constrain Exposure to Factor k

$$|\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{i,k} w_i| < U_k,$$

- Neutralize exposure to all risk factors:

$$|\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{i,k} w_i| = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

Other constraints:

- Minimum Transaction Size
- Minimum Holding Size
- Integer Constraints

General Linear and Quadratic Constraints

For

- \mathbf{w} : target portfolio
- $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0$: transactions given current portfolio \mathbf{w}_0
- \mathbf{w}_B : benchmark portfolio

Linear Constraints: Specify m -column matrices A_w, A_x, A_B
and m -vectors u_w, u_x, u_B and constrain

$$A_w \mathbf{w} \leq u_w$$

$$A_x \mathbf{x} \leq u_x$$

$$A_B (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B) \leq u_B$$

Quadratic Constraints: Specify $m \times m$ -matrices Q_w, Q_x, Q_B
and m -vectors q_w, q_x, q_B and constrain

$$\mathbf{w}' Q_w \mathbf{w} \leq q_w$$

$$\mathbf{x}' Q_x \mathbf{x} \leq q_x$$

$$(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B)' Q_B (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_B) \leq q_B$$

Outline

- 1 Portfolio Theory
 - Markowitz Mean-Variance Optimization
 - Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset
 - Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utility Theory
 - Portfolio Optimization Constraints
 - **Estimating Return Expectations and Covariance**
 - Alternative Risk Measures

Estimating Return Expectations and Covariance

Sample Means and Covariance

- Motivation
 - Least squares estimates
 - Unbiased estimates
 - Maximum likelihood estimates under certain Gaussian assumptions

Issues:

- Choice of estimation period
- Impact of estimation error (!!)

Alternatives

- Apply exponential moving averages
- Apply dynamic factor models
- Conduct optimization with alternative simple models
 - Single-Index Factor Model (Sharpe)
 - Constant correlation model

Outline

- 1 Portfolio Theory
 - Markowitz Mean-Variance Optimization
 - Mean-Variance Optimization with Risk-Free Asset
 - Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utility Theory
 - Portfolio Optimization Constraints
 - Estimating Return Expectations and Covariance
 - Alternative Risk Measures

Alternative Risk Measures

When specifying a portfolio P by \mathbf{w}_P , such that

$$R_P = \mathbf{w}'_P \mathbf{R}, \text{ with asset returns } \mathbf{R} \sim (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$

consider optimization problems replacing the portfolio variance with alternatives

Mean Absolute Deviation:

$$\begin{aligned} MAD(R_P) &= E(|\mathbf{w}'(R_p - \boldsymbol{\alpha})|) \\ &= E(|\sum_{i=1}^m w_i(R_i - \alpha_i)|) \end{aligned}$$

Linear programming with linear/quadratic constraints

Semi-Variance:

$$SemiVar(R_p) = E[\min(R_p - E[R_p], 0)^2]$$

Down-side variance (probability-weighted)

Alternative Risk Measures

Value-at-Risk (VAR): RiskMetrics methodology developed by JP Morgan. VaR is the magnitude of the percentile loss which occurs rarely, i.e., with probability ϵ ($= 0.05, 0.01, \text{ or } 0.001$)

$$VaR_{1-\epsilon}(R_p) = \min\{r : Pr(R_p \leq -r) \leq \epsilon\}$$

- Tracking and reporting of risk exposures in trading portfolios
- VaR is not convex, or sub-additive, i.e.,

$$VaR(R_{P_1} + R_{P_2}) \leq VaR(R_{P_1}) + VaR(R_{P_2})$$

may not hold (VaR does not improve with diversification).

Conditional Value-at-Risk (CVar): Expected shortfall, expected tail loss, tail VaR given by

$$CVaR_{1-\epsilon}(R_p) = E[-R_p \mid -R_p \geq VaR_{1-\epsilon}(R_p)]$$

See Rockafellar and Uryasev (2000) for optimization of CVaR

Alternative Risk Measures

Coherent Risk Measures A risk measure $s(\cdot)$ for portfolio return distributions is coherent if it has the following properties:

Monotonicity: If $R_P \leq R_{P'}$, *w.p.1*, then $s(R_P) \geq s(R_{P'})$

Subadditivity: $s(R_P + R_{P'}) \leq s(R_P) + s(R_{P'})$

Positive homogeneity: $s(cR_P) = cs(R_P)$ for any real $c > 0$

Translational invariance: $s(R_P + a) \leq s(R_P) - a$, for any real a .

N.B.

- $\text{Var}(R_P)$ is not coherent (not monotonic)
- VAR is not coherent (not subadditive)
- CVaR is coherent.

Risk Measures with Skewness/Kurtosis

Consider the Taylor Series expansion of the $u(W)$ about $w_* = E(W)$, where $W = W_0(1 + R_P)$ is the wealth after one period when initial wealth W_0 is invested in portfolio P .

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(W) &= u(w_*) + u'(w_*)(W - w_*) + \frac{1}{2}u''(w_*)(W - w_*)^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{3!}u^{(3)}(w_*)(W - w_*)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}u^{(4)}(w_*)(W - w_*)^4 \\
 &\quad + O[(W - w_*)^5]
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[u(W)] &= u(w_*) + 0 + \frac{1}{2}u''(w_*)\text{var}(W) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{3!}u^{(3)}(w_*)\text{Skew}(W) + \frac{1}{4!}u^{(4)}(w_*)\text{Kurtosis}(W) \\
 &\quad + O[(W - w_*)^5]
 \end{aligned}$$

Portfolio Optimization with Higher Moments

$$\text{Max: } E(R_P) - \lambda_1 \text{Var}(R_P) + \lambda_2 \text{Skew}(R_P) - \lambda_3 \text{Kurtosis}(R_P)$$

$$\text{Subject to: } \mathbf{w}'\mathbf{1}_m = 1, \text{ where } R_P = \mathbf{w}'R_P$$

Portfolio Optimization with Higher Moments

Notes:

- Higher positive Skew is preferred.
- Lower even moments may be preferred (less dispersion)
- Estimation of Skew and Kurtosis complex: outlier sensitivity; requires large sample sizes.
- Optimization approaches
 - Multi-objective optimization methods.
 - Polynomial Goal Programming (PGP).

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.S096 Topics in Mathematics with Applications in Finance

Fall 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.