

PROBLEM SET 1 SOLUTIONS

Problem 1: Matrix Multiplication

a) Each element $mc(i,j)$ of the matrix is equal to a sum of products. We calculate the sum by generating the sequence of the elements in the sum and then folding the elements of the sequence using the `+` construct.

```
FUNC isMatMul(ma: Matrix, mb: Matrix, mc: Matrix) -> Bool =  
<< RET (ALL i: Range | ALL j: Range |  
      mc(i,j) = + : { k :IN 0 .. n-1 | | ma(i,k)*mb(k,j) }) >>
```

b) The following implementation corresponds to an implementation of matrix multiplication in a conventional imperative language.

```
APROC MatMul(ma: Matrix, mb: Matrix) -> Matrix =  
<< VAR mc: Matrix |  
  VAR i: Int := 0 |  
  DO i < n =>  
    VAR j: Int := 0 |  
    DO j < n =>  
      VAR sum: Int := 0 |  
      VAR k: Int := 0 |  
      DO k < n =>  
        sum := sum + ma(i,k)*mb(k,j);  
        k := k+1  
      OD;  
      mc(i,j) := sum;  
      j := j + 1  
    OD;  
    i := i+1  
  OD;  
  RET mc  
>>
```

Problem 2: Distribution of Prime Numbers

a) The following `Spec` function closely follows the mathematical definitions of prime numbers. (Operator `//` denotes the remainder in division of integers.)

```
FUNC isPrime(p: Int) -> Bool =  
  (p > 1) /\  
  { n: Int | n > 0 /\ p // n = 0 } = {1, p}  
  
FUNC isPrimeBetween(p: Int, n: Int) -> Bool =  
  isPrime(p) /\ n < p /\ p < 2*n
```

b) This is a simple-minded implementation of the specification in the previous part. The atomic procedure `primeBetween` does a linear search for prime numbers from $n + 1$ to $2n - 1$ and returns the least number that is prime. The primality test is implemented in the `isPrimeImpl` atomic procedure by a linear search that attempts to find the smallest factor k of p where $2 < k \leq \sqrt{p}$.

```

APROC isPrimeImpl(p: Int) -> Bool =
<< VAR k: Int := 2 |
  DO (k*k <= p) =>
    IF (p // k = 0) => RET false [*] SKIP FI;
    k := k+1
  OD;
RET true
>>
APROC primeBetween(n: Int) -> Int =
<< VAR x: Int := n+1 |
  DO x < 2*n =>
    IF isPrimeImpl(x) => RET x
    [*] x := x+1
  FI
  OD
>>

```

c) For example, let $n = 7$ and $p = 13$. Procedure `primeBetween` returns always 11, never 13.

Problem 3. Shortest Path

a) The shortest path predicate considers the set of all paths from n_1 to n_2 and then ensures that `path` has the minimum length.

```

FUNC isPathFromTo(g: Graph[Node].G,
                 n1: Node, n2: Node,
                 path: SEQ Node) -> Bool =
  g.paths(path) /\
  path.head=n1 /\ path.last=n2

FUNC isShortestPath(g: Graph[Node].G,
                   n1: Node, n2: Node,
                   path: SEQ Node) -> Bool =
  isPathFromTo(g,n1,n2,path) /\
  path.size = { path2: SEQ Node | isPathFromTo(g,n1,n2,path2)
               | path2.size }.min

```

b) The implementation performs a breadth-first search in the graph finding the shortest distance to every reachable node from the node `n1`. The breadth-first search is implemented using a queue represented as a list of nodes `queue`. After reaching the target node `n2`, the path is reconstructed using the atomic procedure `recoverPath`. The reconstruction traverses the path backwards using the fact that $\text{dist}(\text{path}(i+1)) = \text{dist}(\text{path}(i)) + 1$ on the shortest path.

```

APROC recoverPath(g : Graph[Node].G,
                 dist : Node -> IN 0 .. n+1,
                 n2 : Node) -> SEQ Node =
<< IF dist(n2)=0 => RET {n2}
  [*] VAR nd: Node := { nd2: Node |
                       g(nd2,n2) /\ dist(n2)=dist(nd2)+1 }.min |

```

```

    RET recoverPath(g,dist,nd) + {nd}
  FI
>>

APROC shortestPath(g: Graph[Node].G,
                  n1: Node, n2: Node) -> SEQ Node =
<< VAR queue: SEQ Node := { n1 } |
    VAR dist: Node -> IN 0 .. n+1 := (\ nd:Node | n+1 ) |
    dist(n1) := 0;
    DO queue.size > 0 =>
      VAR first: Node := queue.head |
      IF first=n2 => RET recoverPath(g,dist,n2)
      [*] queue := queue.tail;
      VAR succ: SEQ Node :=
        { nd :IN 1 .. n | g(first,nd) /\ dist(nd) = n+1 } |
      queue := queue + succ;
      DO succ.size > 0 =>
        dist(succ.head) := dist(first) + 1;
        succ := succ.tail;
      OD
    FI
  OD;
% There is no path from n1 to n2. Procedure fails.
false => SKIP
>>

```

c) One of the examples is the following. Let $n = 4$, $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = 4$, and let the graph g be

$$g = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$$

The path $path = [1, 3, 4]$ satisfies $isShortestPath(n_1, n_2, path)$ but the result of $shortestPath(g, n_1, n_2)$ is always the path $[1, 2, 4]$.