

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

6.436J/15.085J
Problem Set 7

Fall 2008
due 10/29/2008

Readings: Notes for lectures 11-13 (you may skip the proofs in the notes for lecture 11).

Optional additional readings:

Adams & Guillemin, Sections 2.2-2.3, skim Section 2.5.

For a full development of this material, see [W], Sections 5.1-5.9, 6.0-6.3, 6.5, 6.12, 8.0-8.4.

Exercise 1. Show that if $g : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfies $\int g d\mu < \infty$, then $g < \infty$, a.e. (i.e., the set $\{\omega \mid g(\omega) = \infty\}$ has zero measure).

Exercise 2. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Let $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative measurable function. Let λ be the Lebesgue measure. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on the real line such that $\int f d\lambda = 1$. For any Borel set A , let $\mathbb{P}_1(A) = \int_A f d\lambda$. Prove that \mathbb{P}_1 is a probability measure.

Exercise 3. (Impulses and Impulse Trains)

Consider the real line, endowed with the Borel σ -field. For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Dirac measure (“unit impulse”) at c , denoted by δ_c , to be the probability measure that satisfies $\delta_c(c) = 1$. If we “place a Dirac measure” at each integer, we are led to the measure $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n$, that is, $\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n(A)$, for every Borel set A . (Thus, μ corresponds to an “impulse train” in engineering parlance. It is also a “counting measure”, in that it just counts the number of integers in a set A .)

The statements below are all fairly “obvious” properties of impulses. Your task is to provide a formal proof, being careful to use just the definitions above, the general definition of an integral (as a limit using simple functions), and the property that if two functions are equal except on a set of measure zero, then their integrals are equal.

- (a) For any nonnegative (not necessarily simple) measurable function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, we have $\int g d\delta_c = g(c)$.
- (b) For any nonnegative (not necessarily simple) measurable function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, we have $\int g d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)$. (This shows that summation is a special case of integration.)

Exercise 4. (Interchanging summations and limits)

Suppose that the numbers a_{ij} , c_i have the following properties:

- (i) For every i , the limit $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_{ij}$ exists;
- (ii) For all i, j , we have $|a_{ij}| \leq c_i$;
- (iii) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i < \infty$.

Use the Dominated Convergence Theorem and a suitable measure to show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_{ij}.$$

Exercise 5. (An alternative way of developing integration theory)

We developed in class the standard definition of the integral $\int g d\mathbb{P}$ using approximations by simple functions. Let us forget all that and develop a new approach from scratch.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Let $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ be the real line, endowed with the Borel σ -field, and the Lebesgue measure. We consider the product of these two spaces, and the associated product measure μ on $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B})$. For any nonnegative random variable X , we define $A_X = \{(\omega, x) \mid 0 \leq x < X(\omega)\}$, and **define** $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu(A_X)$. (This definition turns out to be equivalent to the standard definition.) The set A is indeed measurable since $A = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{(\omega, x) \mid 0 \leq x < q < X(\omega)\}$, and each of the sets in the union are measurable since X is a random variable.

Using the new definition, we would like to verify that various properties of the expectation are easily derived.

Let X, Y be nonnegative random variables. Show the following properties, using just the above definition and basic properties of measures, but no other facts from integration theory.

- (a) If we have two nonnegative random variables with $\mathbb{P}(X = Y) = 1$, then $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (b) If Y is a nonnegative random variable and $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$, then $\mathbb{P}(Y = 0) = 1$.
- (c) If $X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (d) (**Monotone convergence theorem**) Let X_n be an increasing sequence of nonnegative random variables, whose limit is X . Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$. *Hint:* This is really easy: use continuity of measures on the sets A_{X_n} .

All this looks pretty simple, so you may wonder why this is not done in most textbooks. The answer is twofold: (i) developing some of the other properties,

such as linearity, is not as straightforward; (ii) the construction of the product measure, when carried out rigorously is quite involved.

Exercise 6. Suppose that X is a nonnegative random variable and that $\mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] < \infty$ for all $s \in (-\infty, a]$, where a is a positive number. Let k be a positive integer.

- (a) Show that $\mathbb{E}[X^k] < \infty$.
- (b) Show that $\mathbb{E}[X^k e^{sX}] < \infty$, for every $s < a$.
- (c) Suppose that $h > 0$. Show that $(e^{hX} - 1)/h \leq X e^{hX}$.
- (d) Use the DCT to argue that

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}\left[\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{hX} - 1}{h}\right] = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{hX}] - 1}{h}.$$

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.436J / 15.085J Fundamentals of Probability
Fall 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.