

Isotopes Class 12.744 Problem Set #4

Assigned: November 29, 2012

Due: December 11, 2012

1. (Super Problem) Determining Pb scavenging rates in the abyssal North Pacific. Consider the accompanying spreadsheet (PS4Data.xlsx). It contains on the first sheet a profile of hydrographic data (temperature, potential temperature, salinity, etc. vs. depth) from a location in the eastern subtropical North Pacific. The second sheet lists some ^{210}Pb and ^{226}Ra activities (including uncertainties), in units of decays per minute per 100 kg of seawater, as a function of depth at the same location. Assume a half-life of 1600 years for ^{226}Ra and 22.3 years for ^{210}Pb .
 - a. Given that these two isotopes are in the same (^{238}U) decay chain, what do their relative activities tell you about their relative chemistries? What is happening?
 - b. Using the mean activities of these isotopes below 2000m depth, do a simple box model calculation to estimate the time-scale associated with particle scavenging of lead from the water column.
 - c. Construct a one dimensional advection-diffusion-production/consumption-decay model to solve for the rate of *in situ* Ra remineralization in the water column and the timescale of Pb scavenging, using the following strategy:
 - i. Assume a one-dimensional (vertical) advective-diffusive sub-range between 1000m and 4100m depths, using a coordinate system with $z=0$ at 4100m and $z=3100$ at 1000m depths.
 - ii. Use the potential temperature profile over this range to solve for K/w using the stable-conservative advection-diffusion equation outlined in class.
 - iii. Assuming that $w = 5$ m/y (i.e., upwelling upward), solve the non-conservative radioactive decay equation and its analytic solution described in class to compute the ^{226}Ra profile that most closely fits the data provided and to find its production rate (J in units of dpm/100kg/year). You can do this either in MATLAB or EXCEL. To keep it simple, start with an educated guess for J and manually try & plot the profiles to find a best “chi-by-eye” fit. If you’re feeling ambitious, you can use a MATLAB optimizer to find the best match between data and model, or use the EXCEL “goal seeker” capability. Try doubling and halving the J value that you arrive at, plotting the resultant curve, and explain qualitatively what’s happening.
 - iv. Using the optimal Ra profile from (iii), and the more complex analytic solution for the coupled equation described in class, use the same strategy to fit the ^{210}Pb profile and find the optimal value of the Pb scavenging time-scale. You can use your estimated timescale from part (b) as a starting point. Demonstrate and explain the effect of halving and doubling the optimal time-scale.

2. In many respects the geochemistry of strontium in seawater is similar to that of calcium. Consider seawater a two-component mixture of 25 weight% hydrothermal strontium and 75 weight% riverine strontium. Calculate the isotope composition of seawater strontium from the following values:

Hydrothermal fluids: $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.27$ per mil, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.703$

River water: $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.31$ per mil, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.711$

Use a standard $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of 8.375209 (zero per mil) and a $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of 0.056584.

Use the two-component mixing equation conventionally used in stable isotope geochemistry to calculate the $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values of seawater. What are the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values of your mixture (i.e. seawater)? **(15 points)**

3. Measurements of seawater $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ yield a delta value of 0.38. How do you explain this value in the context of the calculation above? **(15 points)**
4. Imagine you are investigating an ocean on a different habitable planet of similar size to Earth that has an identical strontium budget to Earth's ocean except that the average riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ value is 10 instead of 0.711. Redo the two-component mixing calculation
- using the same approach as in part 2.
 - using proper atomic weights in your mixing calculation.

Comment on your findings. **(15 points)**

Extra Credit (5 points): What mechanism(s) could make the average riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ value of this habitable planet so different from rivers on Earth?

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

12.744 Marine Isotope Chemistry
Fall 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.