### MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## 5.73 Quantum Mechanics I Fall, 2018

## Professor Robert W. Field

#### Problem Set #9

**Reading**: Angular Momentum Handouts

C-TDL, pages 999-1024, 1027-1034, 1035-1042

Spherical components of a vector operator

$$V_{\pm 1} = \mp 2^{-1/2} [V_x \pm i V_y]$$
  
 $V_0 = V_z$ 

Scalar product of two vector operators

$$\label{eq:Volume} \mathbf{V} \bullet \mathbf{W} = \sum_{\mu} \left(-1\right)^{\!\!\mu} \mathbf{V}_{-\mu} \mathbf{W}_{\mu} \ .$$

Scalar product of two tensor operators

$$T_0^{(0)}[A_1, A_2] = \sum_{\mu} (-1)^{\mu} T_{\mu}^{(\omega)}[A_1] T_{-\mu}^{(\omega)}[A_2].$$

#### **Problems**:

- 1. CTDL, page 1086, #2.
- 2. CTDL, page 1089, #7.
- 3. CTDL, page 1089, #8.
- 4. A. d orbitals are often labeled xy, xz, yz,  $z^2$ ,  $x^2$ – $y^2$ . These labels are Cartesian tensor components. Find the linear combinations of binary products of x, y, and z that may be labeled as  $T_{+2}^{(2)}$  and  $T_0^{(2)}$ .
  - B. There is a powerful formula for constructing an operator of any desired  $T_{M}^{(\Omega)}$  spherical tensor character from products of components of other operators

$$T_{M}^{(\Omega)}[A_{1}, A_{2}] = \sum_{\mu_{1}} A_{\mu_{1}, M-\mu_{1}, M}^{\omega_{1}\omega_{2}\Omega} T_{\mu_{1}}^{(\omega_{1})}[A_{1}] T_{M-\mu_{1}}^{(\omega_{2})}[A_{2}]$$

where A is a Wigner or Clebsch-Gordan coefficient, which is related to 3-j coefficients as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \equiv -(m_1 + m_2) \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{j_1 - j_2 - m_3} (2j_3 + 1)^{-1/2} A_{M_1 M_2 - M_3}^{j_1 j_2 j_3} .$$

Use the  $T_M^{(\Omega)}$  [A<sub>1</sub>,A<sub>2</sub>] formula to construct the spherical tensor  $T_{+2}^{(3)}$  and  $T_0^{(3)}$  components of f orbitals by combining products of linear combinations of Cartesian labeled d and p orbitals. In other words, combine  $T^{(2)}$  [x,y,z] with  $T^{(1)}$ [x,y,z] to obtain  $T_M^{(3)}$  as a linear combination of products of 3 Cartesian components.

# 5. Angular Momenta

Consider a two-electron atom in the "electronic configuration" 3d4p. The electronic states that belong to this configuration are  ${}^3F$ ,  ${}^1F$ ,  ${}^3D$ ,  ${}^1D$ ,  ${}^3P$ , and  ${}^1P$ . There are  $(2\ell_1+1)(2\ell_2+1)(2\ell_2+1)(2\ell_2+1)=60$  spin-orbital occupancies associated with this configuration. I am going to ask you to solve several angular momentum coupling problems, using 3-j coefficients and the Wigner-Eckart Theorem for states belonging to this configuration. However, I do not expect you to consider the anti-symmetrization requirement that is the subject of lectures #30 - 36.

Spin-orbitals in the uncoupled basis set are denoted by  $n\ell m_s sm_s(i)$  where n is the principal quantum number and i specifies the name of the assumed-distinguishable electron. Since s=1/2 for all electrons, we can use an abbreviated notation for spin-orbitals:  $\ell \lambda \alpha / \beta$  where  $\alpha$  corresponds to  $m_s = +1/2$  and  $\beta$  to  $m_s = -1/2$ . The two-electron basis states are denoted  $\left| \ell_1 \lambda_1 (\alpha / \beta)_1 \ell_2 \lambda_2 (\alpha / \beta)_2 \right\rangle$ , e.g.  $\left| 3 - 1\alpha 2 - 1\beta \right\rangle$  where the first three symbols are associated with  $e^- \# 1$  and the second three with  $e^- \# 2$ .

The many-electron quantum numbers L,  $M_L$ , S,  $M_S$  are related to the one-electron spin-orbital quantum numbers by

$$\begin{aligned} M_L &= \sum_i \ \lambda_i \\ M_S &= \sum_i \ \sigma_i \end{aligned}$$

and L and S must be constructed from the proper linear combination of spin-orbital basis states. For example,

$$|{}^{3}F, M_{L} = 4, M_{S} = 1\rangle = |33\alpha 11\alpha\rangle$$

This is a problem that concerns the coupled ↔ uncoupled transformation,

$$|L\ell_1\ell_2M_L\rangle = \sum_{\lambda_2} |\ell_1\lambda_1\ell_2\lambda_2\rangle\langle\ell_1\lambda_1\ell_2\lambda_2|L\ell_1\ell_2M_L\rangle$$

where  $M_L = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  and  $\ell_2 \le \ell_1$ . The same situation obtains for the spin part

$$\left| S s_1 s_2 M_s \right\rangle = \sum_{\sigma_2} \left| s_1 \sigma_1 s_2 \sigma_2 \right\rangle \left\langle s_1 \sigma_1 s_2 \sigma_2 \right| S s_1 s_2 M_S \right\rangle.$$

A. Use 3-j coefficients to derive the linear combination of six spin-orbital occupancies that corresponds to the  $|^3P_0 M_J = 0\rangle$  state. The six basis states are  $|3-1\alpha 11\beta\rangle$ ,  $|3-1\beta 11\alpha\rangle$ ,  $|30\alpha 10\beta\rangle$ ,  $|30\beta 10\alpha\rangle$ ,  $|31\alpha 1-1\beta\rangle$ , and  $|31\beta 1-1\alpha\rangle$ . Note that you will have to perform three uncoupled—coupled transformations:

$$\ell_1 \lambda_1 \ \ell_1 \lambda_1 \rightarrow L \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 M_L$$

$$s_1\sigma_1s_2\sigma_2 \rightarrow S \ s_1s_2M_S$$

and

$$LM_LSM_S \rightarrow JLSM_J$$
.

I advise against using ladders plus orthogonality to solve this problem because  $M_J$  = 0 is the worst possible situation for this method.

B. The atom in question has a nonzero nuclear spin, I = 5/2. This means that you will eventually have to perform an additional uncoupled to coupled transformation:

$$\vec{\mathbf{F}} = \vec{\mathbf{I}} + \vec{\mathbf{J}}$$
$$|JM_{J}IM_{I}\rangle \rightarrow |FJIM_{F}\rangle.$$

The nuclear spin gives rise to "Fermi-contact" and magnetic dipole hyperfine structure. The hyperfine Hamiltonian is

$$\mathbf{H}^{hf} = \sum \left( a_i \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{I} + b_i \ell_i \cdot \mathbf{I} \right).$$

The  $\Delta F = \Delta J = \Delta L = \Delta S = \Delta I = 0$  special form for the Wigner-Eckart theorem for vector operators may be used to replace the above "microscopic" form of  $\mathbf{H}^{hf}$  by a more convenient, but restricted, form

$$\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{hf}} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{JLS}} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

because the microscopic  $\mathbf{H}^{hf}$  contains  $\sum_{i} a_{i} \mathbf{s}_{i}$  and  $\sum_{i} b_{i} \ell_{i}$ , both of which are vectors with respect to  $\mathbf{J}$ .

$$\mathbf{H}^{ef} = \sum \left( a_i \mathbf{s}_i + b_i \ell_i \right) \cdot \mathbf{I}$$
$$= c_{HS} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

where c<sub>JLS</sub> is a reduced matrix element evaluated in the |JLSM<sub>1</sub>| basis set

$$c_{ILS} = \left\langle JLS \middle| \sum_{i} \left( a_{i} \mathbf{s}_{i} + b_{i} \boldsymbol{\ell}_{i} \right) \middle| JLS \right\rangle$$

where

$$c_{JLS} = \left\langle JLSM_{J} \middle| \sum_{i} \left( a_{i} \mathbf{s}_{i} + b_{i} \ell_{i} \right) \middle| JLSM_{J}' \right\rangle = c_{JLS} \left\langle JLSM_{J} \middle| \mathbf{J} \middle| JLSM_{J}' \right\rangle.$$

 $c_{JLS}$  is a constant that depends on each of the magnitude quantum numbers J, L, and S (but not F and I). I will review this derivation and show you how to evaluate the J, L, S dependence of  $c_{JLS}$  in a handout.

Similarly, the spin-orbit Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}^{SO} = \sum_{\mathbf{o}} \zeta(\mathbf{r}_i) \boldsymbol{\ell}_i \bullet \mathbf{s}_i$$

may be replaced by the  $\Delta L = 0$ ,  $\Delta S = 0$  restricted form,

$$\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{SO}} = \zeta_{\mathrm{LS}} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}.$$

The purpose of this problem is to show that all of the fine (spin-orbit) and hyperfine structure for all of the states of the 3d4p configuration can be related to the fundamental one-electron coupling constants:  $a_{3d}$ ,  $a_{4p}$ ,  $b_{3d}$ ,  $b_{4p}$ ,  $\zeta_{3d}$ , and  $\zeta_{4p}$ .

Derive simple formulas for the hyperfine and fine structure for all  $|FJLSIM_F\rangle$  states of the 3d4p configuration (consistent with neglect of  $\Delta L \neq 0$ ,  $\Delta S \neq 0$  matrix elements).

C. The six L–S states that arise from the 3d4p electronic configuration split into 12 fine-structure J-components and, in turn, into 54 hyperfine F-components. The eigenenergies are given (neglecting off-diagonal matrix elements between widely separated J-L-S fine structure components) by  $c_{JLS}$  J•I and, alternatively, by matrix elements of the microscopic forms of the  $\mathbf{H}^{hf}$  (and  $\mathbf{H}^{SO}$ ) operators evaluated in the explicit product-of-spin-orbitals basis set. The set of 12 { $c_{JLS}$ } can be related to

four of the six fundamental coupling constants listed at the end of part B. There are several tricks for expressing many-electron reduced matrix elements in terms of one-electron reduced matrix elements. One trick is to start with "extreme states". Another is to exploit a matrix element sum rule based on the trace invariance of matrix representations of **H**. For  $\mathbf{H}^{SO}$  use  $^3F_4$   $M_J = 4$  to get  $\zeta_{3_F}$ ,  $^3P_0$   $M_J = 0$  (your answer to part A) to get  $\zeta_{3_P}$ , and (if you are brave: optional) the sum rule for J = 3,  $M_J = 3$  to get  $\zeta_{3_D}$ . For  $\mathbf{H}^{hf}$  consider only  $^3F_4$   $M_F = (4+5/2)$  and (if you are brave: optional)  $^1F_3$   $M_F = (3+5/2)$ .

MIT OpenCourseWare <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu/">https://ocw.mit.edu/</a>

5.73 Quantum Mechanics I Fall 2018

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu/terms">https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</a>.